From:
To: SizewellC

Subject: Sizewell C Planning Process - EN010012

Date: 12 October 2021 22:06:11

We wish to take this opportunity to register our continued objection to this proposed construction.

Having listened to many of the representations from highly skilled, informed and key specialists we have heard nothing to alleviate our concerns but many more issues to add regarding this proposal. The site will encroach on and grossly affect the internationally renowned RSPB Minsmere which attracts tourists from the world over and contributes hugely to the local economy. With the recent published information on rising sea levels and the accelerated coastal erosion of the east coast, this huge construction can only be considered as foolhardy and dangerous. Recent articles have highlighted extensive beach erosion works at Thorpness, a neighbouring beach to Sizewell

Our property was built in 1672, sits alongside the B1122 and has no foundations! Unlike some, we do have a short driveway but this is not the luxury enjoyed by others along this road which is utilised by tourists and large agricultural machinery. Cottages along this road open directly and I mean less than 2 metres directly onto this road, one that is expected to carry upward of 850 HGVs a day. Leaving aside the diesel fumes, you are likely to be decapitated if putting your head outside your front door. How ironic also that a supposedly 'green' energy source is to be constructed using transport powered by cariogenic diesel HGVs on unsuitable roads through historic and closely constructed villages. There has been in depth recent study on the effects of diesel fumes on people with breathing problems and although no legal precedent has been set there are the firm foundations for cases to be brought against transport suppliers and ultimately the Government for such pollutants when it can be proved that these issues went against known science and advice. This road is totally unsuitable for this purpose, even in the early stages of construction and EDF have previous history in withdrawing from promised road building, improvements or mitigating features so why should we believe anything they bandy around for this project?

Linking to transport and the complete lack of infrastructure is the recent debacle regarding the lack of potable water. Over a decade ago concerns were raised about the amount of water that EDF would require, particularly with regards to cooling. The east of England has long been considered to be the driest part of this country and it is classed by the EA as a seriously water stressed area. Why then has it taken this long for EDF to consider that there may be an issue and to make yet further alterations to it's proposal? And what proposals? to tanker in potable water in the early years and to build a desalination plant requiring yet more construction and more CO2 emissions. How is the water to be tankered in? Why along the B1122 of course, along with

all the other construction traffic. EDF states the additional 80 HGVs will not affect the overall number of HGVs but again cannot quantify or back up yet another rushed out statement.

So much has happened over the time this initial examination has taken place and none of it to support a foreign company building what is likely to be an obsolete power source in a totally unsuitable part of the country, on an eroding coastline with a woefully inadequate infrastructure. Add to this that the proposed reactor is not actually working anywhere else in Europe, is suffering safety issues in China and the foreign company is threatening to withhold power to our sovereign islands on a whim, together with no funding and this would appear to be the whole business case.

I urge you please to reconsider this project and to put yourselves in our shoes. We are living in an area under siege from no less than 10 other energy projects, all of them considered individually and without any joined up initiative or consideration for the people who reside in this area of Suffolk and have to eke out a living.

Thank you for reading this.

Brian and Lesley Taylor.